

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,103	03/24/2004	Koichi Matsuda	03500.017972.	2515	
5514 FITZPATRIC	7590 09/22/200 K CELLA HARPER &		EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of the Americas			GARDNER, SHANNON M		
NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/807,103
 MATSUDA ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Shannon Gardner
 1795

	Shannon Gardner	1795					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Shannon Gardner.	(3) <u>Len Diana</u> .						
(2) <u>Jennifer Michener</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>17 September 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.							
ldentification of prior art discussed: <u>Nakajima, Ishihara and Sano</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	) was not reached. h) № N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="Applicant questioned combination of Nakajima with Ishihara/Sano.">Applicant questioned combination of Nakajima with Ishihara/Sano.</a> The Examiner explained the relevance of the Nakajima reference, specifically the relevance of the graded 2nO layer within a stacked PV device with multiple unit hotoelectric elements (UPEs).  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/S. G./	/Jennifer K. Michener/						
Examiner, Art Unit 1795	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1795					